



Chabot-Las Positas Community College District

Chancellor's Council

Tuesday, May 11, 2010

3:00-4:00 pm

District Office – Multipurpose Room

A

I. Review and Approval of Minutes – April 13, 2010

G

II. Status of “working faculty group” for hiring policies - (Sarah)

E

III. LPC Mutual Agreement Form (Sarah)

N

IV. Other

D

V. Next Meeting: Tuesday, September 14, 2010
3:00-4:00pm
DO-Multipurpose Room

A

CHABOT-LAS POSITAS COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

CHANCELLOR'S COUNCIL

Meeting of May 11, 2010
Meeting Minutes

Attendance:

Jeff Baker	Pam Luster (for D. Pollard)
Audrey Ching	Sarah Thompson
Mary Anne Gularte	Pedro Ruiz de Castilla (for J. Bishop-Wilbur)
Natasha Lang	Gordon Watt
Jane McCoy	Laura Weaver

Handouts:

- 1) Agenda – May 11, 2010
- 2) Minutes – April 13, 2010
- 3) Las Positas College Mutual Agreement Form

I. Review and Approval of Minutes – April 13, 2010

Ms. McCoy reported that during the discussion under item V., page 5, regarding budget cuts, she questioned Chancellor Kinnamon if he intended to expect faculty to accept additional students in order to make the numbers he expects. His response was that he didn't know. She requested that this be included in the minutes of April 13, 2010.

Ms. Thompson made a motion to approve the April 13, 2010 minutes with the revision requested by Ms. McCoy. Motion carried.

(Note: Further review of the audio tape of the April 13, 2010 meeting did not verify the above comment was made. Therefore, the minutes of April 13, 2010 were not revised.)

II. Corrections to Agenda

Dr. Gularte corrected the agenda to read May 11, 2010. In addition, she reported that agenda item II (Status of "working faculty group" for hiring policies) is deleted.

III. LPC Mutual Agreement Form

Ms. Thompson distributed copies of the Las Positas Mutual Agreement Form for informational purposes for Council and for Dr. Kinnamon to take forward for Board approval. This is the process that Las Positas College has mutually agreed upon in terms of how they are going to reach mutual agreement on different 10+1 items that

the Board has identified as requiring mutual agreement, i.e. educational program development; standards and policies regarding student success; District and College governance structures as related to faculty roles; policies for faculty professional development activities; process for program review; and process for institutional planning and budget development. She reported that the form is a digital document so that agenda and minutes can be attached as well as links to documents so that the history of mutual agreement is all in one place. She noted that mutual agreement can occur quickly and sometimes it doesn't, and so it is helpful to have all the steps together, particularly for archival purposes.

Ms. Thompson reported that this document will become part of the College Handbook, which is also in the process of revision. This will also become one of the guiding documents for all the different committees and governance bodies to use with issues involving mutual agreement items.

In response to a question raised, Ms. Thompson reported that the document was developed by the Senate, College Council and the President's Office. Ms. Thompson reported that there was agreement that a document like this was necessary, particularly with the administrative turn-over and loss of "institutional history." The College President appointed two Administrators and the Senate appointed two Senate representatives charged with developing the document.

It was suggested that Chabot College could use this document in developing one for Chabot College.

IV. Other

Ms. Wilson noted that certain constituent groups are not represented in Council meetings. She suggested that if members cannot attend, a representative should attend and carry information back to their committee member or group. Dr. Gularte suggested that this could be addressed at the first meeting of the Fall Semester, which is intended as an orientation meeting.

Ms. Lang suggested that also for the first meeting of the year, if information for that meeting could be sent out prior to the meeting, perhaps in August. She also suggested that meeting agendas be sent in advance.

Ms. Thompson suggested that it would also be helpful in setting the agenda, if at the end of every meeting, agenda items for the next meeting should be identified to the extent possible.

Ms. McCoy questioned if the Board made any consideration of the issue of requiring the campus to be open five days per week in the Summer? Dr. Gularte reported that at this time the campus is still open five days per week. Ms. McCoy reported that that

is "absolutely unconscionable, when we are cutting classes." The issue of transportation and greenhouse gasses was brought up by Mr. Watt.

Ms. Thompson questioned how the District and Board is preparing for the additional \$3 billion shortfall that the Governor has identified? She noted that the budget presented by Mr. Lagaspi did not include this additional shortfall and expressed that she anticipates that the budget will be "bleaker" than it is now. Dr. Luster reported that she agrees with Ms. Thompson, in that "bleaker" is headed towards us.

In response to an additional question raised regarding the four day week, Dr. Gularte stressed that the Board has felt strongly about keeping the campuses open for the community and for faculty, staff and students, focusing on "access" and "openness." She reported that she is unaware of any additional discussions regarding this issue since the Board Retreat.

Dr. Luster noted that from the fiscal situation and from an access perspective, we have no choice but to be open for fewer hours because of our budget issues.

Ms. Thompson suggested that there needs to be a discussion of the word "access" and its meaning.

Ms. McCoy noted that the Faculty Association made a statement at the last Board Meeting expressing their opinion of the four day week during the Summer; and noted that Ms. Thompson is expressing through the Senate that they agree with the idea for the 4 day week. She noted that every constituent group strongly agrees that we should not be open on Fridays in the Summer.

A lengthy discussion ensued. It was expressed that it is hoped that this message does get to the Board.

In response to a comment made by Ms. Weaver, Ms. McCoy noted that she is talking about closing the campuses on Friday during the Summer and not closing the District Office.

Mr. Baker noted that there is a strong sense of many who want to do something like closing on Fridays in the Summer, considering the environmental impact, and considering how hard the faculty and classified staff are working, as well as Administrators.

Dr. Gularte thanked committee members for their comments and noted that she will share these comments with Dr. Kinnamon. In response to a question raised, she reported that any discussion of this issue by the Board would be in Closed Session as it is negotiations. She reported that any additional comments prior to Tuesday's Board Meeting could be directed to her, Dr. Kinnamon, or Ms. Ching.

Ms. Wilson questioned the status of the free speech resolution submitted by the faculty and requested a copy of the resolution. Dr. Gularte reported that there have been questions on free speech that have come up in the past, so Mr. Legaspi contacted our JPA representatives, who did a walk through at LPC. It was determined that we are fulfilling all obligations of providing all rights and opportunities for free speech. Dr. Gularte also reported that because the campuses have been built out, there were also some issues about free speech zones which need to be reviewed.

Ms. McCoy noted that the Faculty Association is very interested in this topic. The FA is officially notifying the District that they want to be involved in any decisions that are potentially being made regarding creating free speech zones. Ms. Wilson also questioned the status of the Student Senate resolution regarding the Brown Act issue. Dr. Gularte noted that she believes this issue has been resolved.

V. Next Meeting

Tuesday, September 14, 2010, 3:00-4:00 pm, District Office Multipurpose Room.

Suggested Possible Agenda Items:

1. Free Speech Resolution Update
2. Brown Act – Student Resolution
3. District Ad Hoc
 - a. Hiring Policy
 - b. Banner Wait List
4. Establish Committee Participation Expectations

VI. Adjournment

Dr. Luster made a motion, seconded by Ms. Thompson, to adjourn the meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

bb
6/14/10