



# CHABOT- LAS POSITAS COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

Purchasing and Warehouse Services Department

---

---

June 23, 2008

## Addendum No. 1

INVITATION FOR BID No. 08-24

### Priority One - Buildings & Parking Lot Security Upgrade Projects, Chabot College

All Prospective Bidders:

This Addendum modifies the original Bid Documents for the above Bid. Acknowledge receipt of this addendum in the space provided on the SIGNATURE FORM. Failure to do so may subject Bidder to disqualification.

The original Bid Documents are modified by the additional information as follows:

#### Item No. 1: QUESTIONS ASKED AND ANSWERED

**Q: On Sheet SE0.1, under general notes, note #12:**

Is the security contractor responsible for the abatement of all asbestos? If yes, how are we to know where all of the asbestos areas are?

**A: Due to the type of work envisioned at this time, we do not anticipate more than 100 square feet of potentially hazardous material being disturbed at any one place at any one time. This is a 100 square foot per project per year regulation and it only applies to whether a register abatement contractor must do the work – the work must still be conducted by trained workers, using all the same techniques as an abatement contractor including containment, regulated areas, wet methods, prompt clean up, etc. Therefore a registered abatement contractor is not required. Most buildings were constructed in 1964. Some of the buildings have been remodeled after 1978 and will not contain asbestos. It is up to the contractor to determine which buildings are new and which have been remodeled. A building by building report has been prepared by the college and is available for review at the on site project offices and on the project web site. Your workmen will be required to attend a 4 to 16 hour training session if they have not been trained in the past 12 months and that cost should be included in your bid price. The trainer will be provided by the district and the training will be on site.**

**Q: Reference RFB, Notice to Contractors Calling for Bids, Latest Time/Date for Submission of Bid Proposals, Page 4**

Adesta understands the need for the compact project schedule we feel that the extremely short time given to respond to a project as complex as the Chabot project may result in inflated bids. Our reasoning is that when bidding contractors are not allowed sufficient time to adequately review project specifications and site conditions (in contingency expenses are added to bids to cover unanticipated costs. We are concerned that our subcontractors, as well as ourselves may end up in that position. We feel that it would be in the best interest of Chabot Las Positas Community College District to allow contractors an additional week to insure that an accurate assessment of the project is completed.

**A: We understand the time for bidding is short. However the college would like to complete the security installation as quickly as possible. The bid date will not be postponed.**

**Q: Reference RFB, Section Notice to Contractors Calling for Bids, Item 7, Job Walk, Page 5**

This states: If a Bid is submitted by a Bidder whose representatives did not attend the entirety of the Mandatory Job Walk, such bid will be rejected by the District as being non-responsive.

As a number of attendees did not attend the job walk in its entirety leaving either prior to or during, the site walk, can we please get a revised list of job walk attendees currently considered compliant with this requirement.

**A: The list of attendees is posted on the web site.**

**Q: Reference RFB, Section Statement of Bidders Qualifications, References 1.25 Page 18**

This section asks for “Publics Works Inspectors of Record (K-12 or Community College Project). Are you asking for the customer representative that is responsible for accepting the work?”

**A: Yes**

**Q: Reference RFB, Section Bid Proposal Alternate Bid Item Proposal, Page 25**

The deductive alternate items No. 2 and No. 3 (Library, Building B100 and Administration, Building B200 respectively) have emergency call stations terminating within. If either of these items are deducted, will these emergency call stations remain or will they be deducted as well?

**A: The emergency call stations are to be installed and are NOT part of the deductive alternate.**

---

Bid No. 08-24 – Addendum No. 1

**Q: Reference RFB, Section 13701 Security Requirements 1.6 G, Page 50**

During the job walk, it was mentioned that the tasks being performed as part of this project will not require any special asbestos abatement procedures. This paragraph mentions possible asbestos abatement where required. Since the cost of abatement could be minimal or very substantial, depending on the circumstances, can you please expand on the abatement issues, if any, in reference to this project?

**A: Due to the type of work envisioned at this time, we do not anticipate more than 100 square feet of potentially hazardous material being disturbed at any one place at any one time. Therefore a registered abatement contractor is not required. Most buildings were constructed in 1964. Generally buildings constructed after 1978 do not contain asbestos. Some of the buildings have been remodeled after 1978 and will not contain asbestos. It is up to the contractor to determine which buildings are new and which have been remodeled. A building by building report has been prepared by the college and is available for review at the on site project offices and on the project web site. Your workmen will be required to attend a 4 hour training session if they have not been trained in the past 12 months and that cost should be included in your bid price. The trainer will be provided by the district and the training will be on site.**

**Q: Reference RFB, Section 13710 Security Materials and Methods 2.2 H. Page 64**

Powder coating of materials is required where exposure to the public is present. The plans do not define exposed and non exposed locations. Please clarify.

**A: Assume all new conduits are exposed to public view, except in existing mechanical rooms.**

**Q: Reference Drawings SE2.1101 SE2.1201, SE7.1**

Drawings SE2.1101 (Building 1100) and drawing SE2.1201 (Building 1200) each refer to drawing SE7.1 Detail 3 as the security panel elevation detail. Please elaborate. Is there an additional security panel elevation detail required, or are these details the same for Buildings 1100 and 1200?

**A: The Building 1200 panel reference should be SE7.1/4 and the name of the 4/SE7.1 should read "BUILDING 1200 IFP".**

**Q: Reference Drawings SE2.3801 SE6.1**

**Reference RFB, Section 13780 Security Closed Circuit Television System**

---

Bid No. 08-24 – Addendum No. 1

## **Part 2 Products, 2.2 Cameras, Page 113**

Drawings SE2.3801 Has PTZ Cameras:

SE38127

SE38133

SE38135

These PTZ Cameras refer to Drawings SE6.1 Detail 4 showing a recessed ceiling mount PTZ camera

Specification Section 13780 Security Closed Circuit Television System Part 2 Products 2.2 Cameras

This section does not list a recessed ceiling mount PTZ camera. Please provide make and model number of camera to be used for this application.

**A: Utilize Borsch Model VG4-322-CTS0.**

**Q: Reference Drawing SE2.102 Sheet Notes 3 and 4**

Who is responsible for any costs that may be incurred by the elevator vendor? If the contractor is responsible for these costs, can you provide the elevator company contact information?

**A: Any work required on the elevator or elevator equipment will be provided under a separate contract. All rough in or tie in to this new security system will be the responsibility of this contractor.**

### **Item No. 2: SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONS**

Scheduling Requirements: Work in building 3500 – Child Care Center may not take place when children are present. Therefore, the work in this building must be performed after-hours and to such an extent as to not disrupt activities the following day.

If you have any questions regarding this Addendum No. 1, please contact the Buyer for Purchasing in **writing, via facsimile or email.**

All other terms and conditions of BID No. 08-24 to remain the same.

---

Bid No. 08-24 – Addendum No. 1

---

5020 Franklin Drive, Pleasanton, California 94588  
(925)485-5229 FAX (925)485-5253

Sincerely,

David Bui

Buyer

[dbui@clpced.org](mailto:dbui@clpced.org)

**Phone: 925-485-5229**

**Fax: 925-485-5253**

---

Bid No. 08-24 – Addendum No. 1

---

5020 Franklin Drive, Pleasanton, California 94588  
(925)485-5229 FAX (925)485-5253