Chabot-Las Positas Community College District Actuarial Study of Retiree Health Benefits April 2009

The Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) requires all public agencies to report their costs and obligations for Other Post Employment Benefits (called "OPEBs") on their financial statements. For Chabot-Las Positas Community College District, OPEB consists primarily of health care benefits. Under GASB 45, an actuarial valuation must be completed every two years to quantify the district's obligation.

Each affected public agency will have to address how best to manage this liability for the future.

Definitions:

- <u>OPEB</u> refers to "other post-employment benefits", meaning other than pension benefits
- GASB 45 requires districts to account for OPEB liabilities
- Actuarial Valuation estimates district unfunded liability
- Annual Required Contribution (ARC) determines how much a district should set aside
- Normal Cost is the dollar value of the "earned" portion of retiree health benefits by current employees
- <u>Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability</u> (benefits <u>already earned</u> by current and former employees but not yet provided for) amortized over 30 years
- ARC is the sum of the Normal Costs and the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability
- <u>Pay-As-You-Go</u> is funding only the current year expense. No additional amount is set aside

District Numbers

• Actuarial Valuation: \$118 million (at 5% discount rate)

Annual Required Contribution: \$10.5 million
Normal Cost: \$5.3 million

• Unfunded Actuarial

Accrued Liability \$5.2 million

• Pay-As-You-Go \$4.3 million for 2009-10

This actuarial study is intended to serve the following purposes:

To provide information to enable Chabot Las Positas CCD to manage the costs and liabilities associated with its retiree health benefits.

To provide information to enable Chabot Las Positas CCD to communicate the financial implications of retiree health benefits to internal financial staff, the Board, employee groups and other affected parties.

To provide information needed to comply with Governmental Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standard 12 (GASB 12) and with the forthcoming GASB accounting standards 43 and 45 related to "other post employment benefits" (OPEB's).

Background

We are not alone.....

The 72 California Community College districts were surveyed regarding their OPEB "retiree benefits liabilities"

- 71 of the 72 districts have OPEB liabilities
- 15 of the 72 have <u>not</u> completed an actuarial study
- The total dollar amount for the community colleges is estimated at \$3.6 billion
- Lowest is \$640K (West Hills), highest is \$623 million (Los Angeles)

We are not alone

This issue of ever-increasing liabilities for OPEB is now in the forefront of many state leaders.

- Governor's Commission The Governor issued an executive order in December 2006 creating a commission to address the issue of unfunded liabilities, mainly retiree health benefits.
 - Estimate for all California Public Agencies (\$200 billion)
 - ➤ (To put things into perspective, the entire budget for the State of California for FY 2007-08 is \$131 billion)

SB1729

This bill grants the CalPERS Board of Administration authority to allow public entities, as specified to contract with CalPERS system in order to pre-fund retiree health care benefits and other post-employment benefits

This bill would allow an employer to voluntarily participate in the pre-funding of health care coverage and OPEBs

CalPERS determines the contribution rate for that employer.

The Bill was recently vetoed

SB 840 – Proposed a single-payer health insurance bill for California. The bill was vetoed

Funding Solutions for OPEB Liabilities

Asset Sales

Pros and Cons

- Proceeds can be used to fund liability, with certain restrictions - No debt incurred Pros

Cons - District has no surplus asset to sell

Funding Solutions for OPEB Liabilities

OPEB Obligation Bonds Why OPEB and Why Now

Pros - Solution in context of District's macro-financial picture

- Manage the liability, the District will have equal annual payments
- When invested, can earn higher interest, which, in and of itself can reduce the liability
- Long term solution to a long term problem
- Imposes budget discipline
- Spreads the pain Does not over burden future employees and decision

makers

- Take advantage of low interest rate environment and statutory investment authority
- Provides benefit security for current and future retirees
- Allow prefunding costs to be charged to categorical programs
- Be responsive to credit rating and accreditation guidelines

Cons - Investment earnings may fall below expectations