

CHABOT-LAS POSITAS COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT Integrated Planning & Budget Model (IPBM) Facilities Committee Meeting

Meeting Minutes No: 13

Recorded by: Doug Horner

Persons Present:

Committee Members

Mr. Doug Horner, Core Rep. Administrator Mr. Matthew Kritscher, Core Rep. Administrator Ms. Diane Brady, Core Rep. Administrators Mr. Walter Blevins, Administrator at Large Mr. Mark Stephens, Shared Governance Mr. Michael Ansell, Shared Governance Ms. Tina Inzerilla, Shared Governance Mr. Scott Hildreth, Chabot College Acad Senates Mr. Mark Tarte, Las Positas College Acad Senates Ms. Heike Gecox, Las Positas College Faculty Assn Mr. Dave Fouquet, Chabot College Faculty Assn Mr. Gordon Watt, Chabot College Clsfd Senate Ms. Joanne Bishop-Wilbur, District Clsfd Senate Ms. Zahra Noorivaziri, SEIU 1021 Classified Union Location: District Office 7600 Dublin Blvd, Third Floor Conference Room 1 Dublin, CA 94568 Date: August 26, 2016

	Not
Present	Present
\boxtimes	
\bowtie	
$\overline{\boxtimes}$	
	\boxtimes
\boxtimes	
\boxtimes	
\boxtimes	
\boxtimes	
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$

Other Present

Ms. Sheri Moore – Las Positas College Mr. Bob Buell – Chabot College Mr. David Truelove – Chabot College Student

• CALL TO ORDER

Horner, Committee Co -Chair, called the meeting to order at 12:35 p.m.

• REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

It was moved (Blevins), seconded (Watt), and passed that the minutes of the April 22, 2016 (No.12) meeting be approved. No corrections offered.

MEASURE A PROJECT PRIORITIZATION PROCESS AND ALLOCATIONS

Horner introduced the Measure A Project Prioritization Process flow chart to the committee. The chart has previously been presented by both colleges at College Day and at Chabot Facilities Committee. It will be presented to LPC Facilities Committee at their first meeting September 19,

2016. The process parallels the current IPBM model of shared governance input with the added component of having the college Facilities Committees, after considering input from other campus shared governance committees, making the formal recommendation to the college president. The college president, after considering the projects for content and consistency with the Educational Master Plan and the Facility Master Plan forwards the recommendation to DW IPBM Facilities Committee for review. Mark Stephens made the comment that this would afford a great opportunity to allow the colleges in on what the other is doing. For example, when the LPC veterans program was developed it may have sparked an idea with Chabot.

After review by DW IPBM Facilities the projects will be developed with budgets and schedules. The developed budgets will include PM/CM Services, Instructional Materials, Deferred Maintenance, Technology, District needs and Energy Efficiency projects. This Total Program Cost budget (including all soft costs) will then be presented to the DW Program Budget Committee. Their recommendation will go to the Chancellor with Senior Leadership Team input before being presented to the Board of Trustees.

Horner noted that this model is intended to be an annual process. Unlike the last bond where the entire bond measure budget was allocated at the beginning, i.e. budget based, this bond will be project based. The main reason for this is that it will allow more flexibility over the life of the bond to develop projects and address needs.

Matt Kritscher suggested that our committee meet at the colleges on occasion.

Future agenda items suggested: Total Cost of Ownership; Allocation of Measure A funds; Review Measure B dollars and projects.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 1:35 pm.